

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

STEVEN CHARLES TINSLEY,

Petitioner,

V.

S. REVELL,

Respondent.

)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)

Civil No. **05-224-JPG**

**ORDER**

**PROUD, Magistrate Judge:**

Before the Court is petitioner's "Motion for Instanter" (**Doc. 2**), which was filed simultaneously with the petition for writ of habeas corpus (**Doc. 1**). Petitioner asks that the Court grant the petition, and he includes what appear to be exhibits from the State court record.

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Clerk of Court have the record reflect that petitioner's "Motion for Instanter" (**Doc. 2**) is not a motion at all; rather, it is construed as exhibits in support of the petition (**Doc. 1**). The petition will be decided in due course, after consideration of the parties' briefs.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**DATED: February 21, 2006**

s/ Clifford J. Proud  
**CLIFFORD J. PROUD**  
**U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE**